arXiv:0912.2039v1 [math.FA] 10 Dec 2009

A MAZUR-ULAM THEOREM FOR MAPPINGS OF CONSERVATIVE DISTANCE IN NON-ARCHIMEDEAN n-NORMED SPACES

HAHNG-YUN CHU[†] AND SE-HYUN KU[*]

Abstract. In this article, we study the notions of n-isometries in non-Archimedean n-normed spaces over linear ordered non-Archimedean fields, and prove the Mazur-Ulam theorem in the spaces. Furthermore, we obtain some properties for n-isometries in non-Archimedean n-normed spaces.

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be metric spaces with metrics d_X and d_Y, respectively. A map $f : X \to Y$ is called an isometry if $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) = d_X(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in X$. Mazur and Ulam[12] were the first to treated the theory of isometry. They have proved the following theorem;

Mazur-Ulam Theorem *Let f be an isometric transformation from a real normed vector space X onto a real normed vector space Y with $f(0) = 0$. Then f is linear.*

It was a natural ask if the result holds without the onto assumption. Asked about this natural question, Baker[2] answered that every isometry of a real normed linear space into a strictly convex real normed linear space is affine. The Mazur-Ulam theorem has been widely studied by [11, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21].

Chu et al.[10] have defined the notion of a 2-isometry which is suitable to represent the concept of an area preserving mapping in linear 2-normed spaces. In [6], Chu proved that the Mazur-Ulam theorem holds in linear 2-normed spaces under the condition that a 2-isometry preserves collinearity. Chu et al.[8] discussed characteristics of 2-isometries. In [1], Amyari and Sadeghi proved the Mazur-Ulam theorem in non-Archimedean 2-normed spaces under the condition of strictly convexity. Recently, Choy et al.[5] proved the theorem on non-Archimedean 2-normed spaces over linear ordered non-Archimedean fields without the strictly convexity assumption.

Misiak[13, 14] defined the concept of an n-normed space and investigated the space. Chu et al.[9], in linear n-normed spaces, defined the concept of an n-isometry that is suitable to represent the notion

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 46B20, secondary 51M25, 46S10

Key words and Phrases. Mazur-Ulam theorem, n-isometry, non-Archimedean n-normed space

$*$ *Corresponding author*

† *The author was supported by the second stage of the Brain Korea 21 Project, The Development Project of Human Resources in Mathematics, KAIST in 2008.*

of a volume preserving mapping. In [7], Chu et al. generalized the Mazur-Ulam theorem to n-normed spaces. In recent years, Chen and Song[3] characterized n-isometries in linear n-normed spaces.

In this paper, without the condition of the strictly convexity, we prove the (additive) Mazur-Ulam theorem on non-Archimedean n-normed spaces. Firstly, we assert that an n-isometry f from a non-Archimedean space to a non-Archimedean space preserves the midpoint of a segment under some condition about the set of all elements of a valued field whose valuations are 1. Using the above result, we show that the Mazur-Ulam theorem on non-Archimedean n-normed spaces over linear ordered non-Archimedean fields. In addition, we prove that the barycenter of a triangle in the non-Archimedean n-normed spaces is f-invariant under different conditions from those referred in previous statements. And then we also prove the (second typed) Mazur-Ulam theorem in non-Archimedean n-normed spaces under some different conditions.

2. The Mazur-Ulam theorem on non-Archimedean n-normed spaces

In this section, we introduce a non-Archimedean n-normed space which is a kind of a generalization of a non-Archimedean 2-normed space and we show the (additive) Mazur-Ulam theorem for an n-isometry f defined on a non-Archimedean n-normed space, that is, $f(x) - f(0)$ is additive. Firstly, we consider some definitions and lemmas which are needed to prove the theorem.

Recall that a *non-Archimedean* (or *ultrametric*) *valuation* is given by a map $|\cdot|$ from a field $\mathcal{K}$ into $[0, \infty)$ such that for all $r, s \in \mathcal{K}$:

(i) $|r| = 0$ if and only if $r = 0$;

(ii) $|rs| = |r||s|$;

(iii) $|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}$.

If every element of $\mathcal{K}$ carries a valuation then a field $\mathcal{K}$ is called a *valued field*, for a convenience, simply call it a field. It is obvious that $|1| = |-1| = 1$ and $|n| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A trivial example of a non-Archimedean valuation is the map $|\cdot|$ taking everything but 0 into 1 and $|0| = 0$ (see [16]).

A *non-Archimedean norm* is a function $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{X} \to [0, \infty)$ such that for all $r \in \mathcal{K}$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$:

(i) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;

(ii) $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\|$;

(iii) the strong triangle inequality

$$\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}.$$

Then we say $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a *non-Archimedean space*.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a vector space with the dimension greater than $n-1$ over a valued field $\mathcal{K}$ with a non-Archimedean valuation $|\cdot|$. A function $\|\cdot,\cdots,\cdot\| : \mathcal{X}\times\cdots\times\mathcal{X}\to[0,\infty)$ is said to be a *non-Archimedean n-norm* if

(i) $\|x_1,\cdots,x_n\|=0 \Leftrightarrow x_1,\cdots,x_n$ are linearly dependent;

(ii) $\|x_1,\cdots,x_n\|=\|x_{j_1},\cdots,x_{j_n}\|$ for every permutation $(j_1,\cdots,j_n)$ of $(1,\cdots,n)$;

(iii) $\|\alpha x_1,\cdots,x_n\|=|\alpha|\;\|x_1,\cdots,x_n\|$;

(iv) $\|x+y,x_2,\cdots,x_n\|\le\max\{\|x,x_2,\cdots,x_n\|,\|y,x_2,\cdots,x_n\|\}$

for all $\alpha\in\mathcal{K}$ and all $x,y,x_1,\cdots,x_n\in\mathcal{X}$. Then $(\mathcal{X},\|\cdot,\cdots,\cdot\|)$ is called a *non-Archimedean n-normed space.*

From now on, let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be non-Archimedean n-normed spaces over a linear ordered non-Archimedean field $\mathcal{K}$.

Definition 2.2. [9] Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be non-Archimedean n-normed spaces and $f:\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{Y}$ a mapping. We call f an *n-isometry* if

$$\|x_1-x_0,\cdots,x_n-x_0\|=\|f(x_1)-f(x_0),\cdots,f(x_n)-f(x_0)\|$$

for all $x_0,x_1,\cdots,x_n\in\mathcal{X}$.

Definition 2.3. [9] The points $x_0,x_1,\cdots,x_n$ of a non-Archimedean n-normed space $\mathcal{X}$ are said to be *n-collinear* if for every i, $\{x_j-x_i \mid 0\le j\ne i\le n\}$ is linearly dependent.

The points x_0,x_1 and x_2 of a non-Archimedean n-normed space $\mathcal{X}$ are said to be 2-collinear if and only if $x_2-x_0=t(x_1-x_0)$ for some element t of a real field. We denote the set of all elements of $\mathcal{K}$ whose valuations are 1 by $\mathcal{C}$, that is, $\mathcal{C}=\{\gamma\in\mathcal{K}:|\gamma|=1\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let x_i be an element of a non-Archimedean n-normed space $\mathcal{X}$ for every $i\in\{1,\cdots,n\}$ and $\gamma\in\mathcal{K}$. Then*

$$\|x_1,\cdots,x_i,\cdots,x_j,\cdots,x_n\|=\|x_1,\cdots,x_i,\cdots,x_j+\gamma x_i,\cdots,x_n\|.$$

for all $1\le i\ne j\le n$.

Proof. By the condition (iv) of Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|x_1,\cdots,x_i,\cdots,x_j+\gamma x_i,\cdots,x_n\|\\
\le\ &\max\{\|x_1,\cdots,x_i,\cdots,x_j,\cdots,x_n\|,|\gamma|\,\|x_1,\cdots,x_i,\cdots,x_i,\cdots,x_n\|\}\\
=\ &\max\{\|x_1,\cdots,x_i,\cdots,x_j,\cdots,x_n\|,0\}\\
=\ &\|x_1,\cdots,x_i,\cdots,x_j,\cdots,x_n\|.
\end{aligned}$$

One can easily prove the converse using the similar methods. This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.5. Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ be non-Archimedean n-normed spaces over a linear ordered non-Archimedean field $\mathcal{K}$ and let $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be an n-isometry. One can show that the n-isometry f from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ preserves the 2-collinearity using the similar method in [7, Lemma 3.2].

The *midpoint* of a segment with endpoints x and y in the non-Archimedean n-normed space $\mathcal{X}$ is defined by the point $\frac{x+y}{2}$.

Now, we prove the Mazur-Ulam theorem on non-Archimedean n-normed spaces. In the first step, we prove the following lemma. And then, using the lemma, we show that an n-isometry f from a non-Archimedean n-normed space $\mathcal{X}$ to a non-Archimedean n-normed space $\mathcal{Y}$ preserves the midpoint of a segment. I.e., the f-image of the midpoint of a segment in $\mathcal{X}$ is also the midpoint of a corresponding segment in $\mathcal{Y}$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a non-Archimedean n-normed space over a linear ordered non-Archimedean field $\mathcal{K}$ with $\mathcal{C} = \{2^n | \, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and let $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x_0 \neq x_1$. Then $u := \frac{x_0+x_1}{2}$ is the unique member of $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\ &= \|x_0 - u, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\ &= \|x_1 - u, x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_3, \cdots, x_1 - x_n\| \end{aligned}$$

for some $x_2, \cdots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \neq 0$ and u, x_0, x_1 are 2-collinear.

Proof. Let $u := \frac{x_0+x_1}{2}$. From the assumption for the dimension of $\mathcal{X}$, there exist $n-1$ elements $x_2, \cdots, x_n$ in $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \neq 0$. One can easily prove that u satisfies the above equations and conditions. It suffices to show the uniqueness for u. Assume that there is an another v satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\ &= \|x_0 - v, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\ &= \|x_1 - v, x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_3, \cdots, x_1 - x_n\| \end{aligned}$$

for some elements $x_2, \cdots, x_n$ of $\mathcal{X}$ with $\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \neq 0$ and v, x_0, x_1 are 2-collinear. Since v, x_0, x_1 are 2-collinear, $v = tx_0 + (1-t)x_1$ for some $t \in \mathcal{K}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\ = \;& \|x_0 - v, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\ = \;& \|x_0 - tx_0 - (1-t)x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\ = \;& |1-t| \, \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_0-x_1, x_0-x_2, x_0-x_3, \cdots, x_0-x_n\| \\
= \;& \|x_1-v, x_1-x_2, x_1-x_3, \cdots, x_1-x_n\| \\
= \;& \|x_1-tx_0-(1-t)x_1, x_1-x_2, x_1-x_3, \cdots, x_1-x_n\| \\
= \;& |t|\, \|x_0-x_1, x_1-x_2, x_1-x_3, \cdots, x_1-x_n\| \\
= \;& |t|\, \|x_0-x_1, x_0-x_2, x_0-x_3, \cdots, x_0-x_n\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|x_0-x_1, x_0-x_2, \cdots, x_0-x_n\| \neq 0$, we have two equations $|1-t|=1$ and $|t|=1$. So there are two integers k_1, k_2 such that $1-t=2^{k_1}$, $t=2^{k_2}$. Since $2^{k_1}+2^{k_2}=1$, $k_i<0$ for all $i=1,2$. Thus we may assume that $1-t=2^{-n_1}$, $t=2^{-n_2}$ and $n_1 \geq n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ without loss of generality. If $n_1 \gneq n_2$, then $1=2^{-n_1}+2^{-n_2}=2^{-n_1}(1+2^{n_1-n_2})$, that is, $2^{n_1}=1+2^{n_1-n_2}$. This is a contradiction because the left side of the equation is a multiple of 2 but the right side of the equation is not. Thus $n_1=n_2=1$ and hence $v=\frac{1}{2}x_0+\frac{1}{2}x_1=u$. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ be non-Archimedean n-normed spaces over a linear ordered non-Archimedean field $\mathcal{K}$ with $\mathcal{C}=\{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ an n-isometry. Then the midpoint of a segment is f-invariant, i.e., for every $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x_0 \neq x_1$, $f(\frac{x_0+x_1}{2})$ is also the midpoint of a segment with endpoints $f(x_0)$ and $f(x_1)$ in $\mathcal{Y}$.*

Proof. Let $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x_0 \neq x_1$. Since the dimension of $\mathcal{X}$ is greater than $n-1$, there exist $n-1$ elements $x_2, \cdots, x_n$ of $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying $\|x_0-x_1, x_0-x_2, \cdots, x_0-x_n\| \neq 0$. Since x_0, x_1 and their midpoint $\frac{x_0+x_1}{2}$ are 2-collinear in $\mathcal{X}$, $f(x_0), f(x_1), f(\frac{x_0+x_1}{2})$ are also 2-collinear in $\mathcal{Y}$ by Remark 2.5. Since f is an n-isometry, we have the followings

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(x_0)-f(\frac{x_0+x_1}{2}), f(x_0)-f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_0)-f(x_n)\| \\
= \;& \|x_0-\frac{x_0+x_1}{2}, x_0-x_2, \cdots, x_0-x_n\| \\
= \;& |\frac{1}{2}|\, \|x_0-x_1, x_0-x_2, \cdots, x_0-x_n\| \\
= \;& \|f(x_0)-f(x_1), f(x_0)-f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_0)-f(x_n)\|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(x_1)-f(\frac{x_0+x_1}{2}), f(x_1)-f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_1)-f(x_n)\| \\
= \;& \|x_1-\frac{x_0+x_1}{2}, x_1-x_2, \cdots, x_1-x_n\| \\
= \;& |\frac{1}{2}|\, \|x_1-x_0, x_1-x_2, \cdots, x_1-x_n\| \\
= \;& \|f(x_0)-f(x_1), f(x_0)-f(x_2), \cdots, f(x_0)-f(x_n)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we obtain that $f(\frac{x_0+x_1}{2})=\frac{f(x_0)+f(x_1)}{2}$ for all $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x_0 \neq x_1$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be non-Archimedean n-normed spaces and $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ an n-isometry. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) The n-isometry f is additive, i.e., $f(x_0 + x_1) = f(x_0) + f(x_1)$ for all $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{X}$;

(ii) the n-isometry f preserves the midpoint of a segment in $\mathcal{X}$, i.e., $f(\frac{x_0+x_1}{2}) = \frac{f(x_0)+f(x_1)}{2}$ for all $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x_0 \neq x_1$;

(iii) the n-isometry f preserves the barycenter of a triangle in $\mathcal{X}$, i.e., $f(\frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}) = \frac{f(x_0)+f(x_1)+f(x_2)}{3}$ for all $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying that x_0, x_1, x_2 are not 2-collinear.

Proof. Firstly, the equivalence between (i) and (ii) is obvious. Then we suffice to show that (ii) is equivalent to (iii). Assume that the n-isometry f preserves the barycenter of a triangle in $\mathcal{X}$. Let x_0, x_1 be in $\mathcal{X}$ with $x_0 \neq x_1$. Since the n-isometry f preserves the 2-collinearity, $f(x_0), f(\frac{x_0+x_1}{2}), f(x_1)$ are 2-collinear. So

$$f(\frac{x_0+x_1}{2}) - f(x_0) = s\Big(f(x_1) - f(x_0)\Big) \tag{2.1}$$

for some element s of a real field. By the hypothesis for the dimension of $\mathcal{X}$, we can choose the element x_2 of $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying that x_0, x_1 and x_2 are not 2-collinear. Since $x_2, \frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}, \frac{x_0+x_1}{2}$ are 2-collinear, we have that $f(x_2), f(\frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}), f(\frac{x_0+x_1}{2})$ are also 2-collinear by Remark 2.5. So we obtain that

$$f(\frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}) - f(x_2) = t\Big(f(\frac{x_0+x_1}{2}) - f(x_2)\Big) \tag{2.2}$$

for some element t of a real field. By the equations (2.1), (2.2) and the barycenter preserving property for the n-isometry f, we have

$$\frac{f(x_0)+f(x_1)+f(x_2)}{3} - f(x_2) = t\Big(f(x_0) + sf(x_1) - sf(x_0) - f(x_2)\Big).$$

Thus we get

$$\frac{f(x_0)+f(x_1)-2f(x_2)}{3} = t(1-s)f(x_0) + tsf(x_1) - tf(x_2).$$

So we have the following equation

$$\frac{2}{3}\Big(f(x_0) - f(x_2)\Big) - \frac{1}{3}\Big(f(x_0) - f(x_1)\Big) = t\Big(f(x_0) - f(x_2)\Big) - ts\Big(f(x_0) - f(x_1)\Big).$$

By a calculation, we obtain

$$(\frac{2}{3} - t)\Big(f(x_0) - f(x_2)\Big) + (-\frac{1}{3} + ts)\Big(f(x_0) - f(x_1)\Big) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Since x_0, x_1, x_2 are not 2-collinear, $x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2$ are linearly independent. Since $\dim \mathcal{X} \geq n$, there are $x_3, \cdots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \neq 0$. Since f is an n-isometry,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f(x_0) - f(x_1), f(x_0) - f(x_2), f(x_0) - f(x_3), \cdots, f(x_0) - f(x_n)\|\\ &= \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

So $f(x_0) - f(x_1)$ and $f(x_0) - f(x_2)$ are linearly independent. Hence, from the equation (2.3), we have $\frac{2}{3} - t = 0$ and $-\frac{1}{3} + ts = 0$. I.e., we obtain $t = \frac{2}{3}, s = \frac{1}{2}$, which imply the equation

$$f(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}) = \frac{f(x_0) + f(x_1)}{2}$$

for all $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x_0 \neq x_1$.

Conversely, (ii) trivially implies (iii). This completes the proof of this lemma. □

Remark 2.9. One can prove that the above lemma also holds in the case of linear n-normed spaces.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be non-Archimedean n-normed spaces over a linear ordered non-Archimedean field $\mathcal{K}$ with $\mathcal{C} = \{2^n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is an n-isometry, then $f(x) - f(0)$ is additive.*

Proof. Let $g(x) := f(x) - f(0)$. Then it is clear that $g(0) = 0$ and g is also an n-isometry.

From Theorem 2.7, for $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{X}(x_0 \neq x_1)$, we have

$$g\Big(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}\Big) = \frac{g(x_0) + g(x_1)}{2}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.8, we obtain that g is additive which completes the proof. □

In the remainder of this section, under different conditions from those previously referred in Theorem 2.10, we also prove the Mazur-Ulam theorem on a non-Archimedean n-normed space. Firstly, we show that an n-isometry f from a non-Archimedean n-normed space $\mathcal{X}$ to a non-Archimedean n-normed space $\mathcal{Y}$ preserves the barycenter of a triangle. I.e., the f-image of the barycenter of a triangle is also the barycenter of a corresponding triangle. Then, using Lemma 2.8, we also prove the Mazur-Ulam theorem(non-Archimedean n-normed space version) under some different conditions.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a non-Archimedean n-normed space over a linear ordered non-Archimedean field $\mathcal{K}$ with $\mathcal{C} = \{3^n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and let x_0, x_1, x_2 be elements of $\mathcal{X}$ such that x_0, x_1, x_2 are not 2-collinear. Then $u := \frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}$ is the unique member of $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\ &= \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - u, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\ &= \|x_1 - x_2, x_1 - u, x_1 - x_3, \cdots, x_1 - x_n\| \\ &= \|x_2 - x_0, x_2 - u, x_2 - x_3, \cdots, x_2 - x_n\| \end{aligned}$$

for some $x_3, \cdots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \neq 0$ and u is an interior point of $\triangle_{x_0x_1x_2}$.

Proof. Let $u := \frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}$. Thus u is an interior point of $\triangle_{x_0x_1x_2}$. Since $\dim \mathcal{X} > n - 1$, there are $n - 2$ elements $x_3, \cdots, x_n$ of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \neq 0$. Applying the lemma 2.4, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - u, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - \frac{x_0 + x_1 + x_2}{3}, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & |\frac{1}{3}| \, \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_1 + x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\|.
\end{aligned}$$

And we can also obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_1 - x_2, x_1 - u, x_1 - x_3, \cdots, x_1 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_2 - x_0, x_2 - u, x_2 - x_3, \cdots, x_2 - x_n\|.
\end{aligned}$$

For the proof of uniqueness, let v be an another interior point of $\triangle_{x_0x_1x_2}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - v, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_1 - x_2, x_1 - v, x_1 - x_3, \cdots, x_1 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_2 - x_0, x_2 - v, x_2 - x_3, \cdots, x_2 - x_n\|
\end{aligned}$$

with $\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \neq 0$. Since v is an element of the set $\{t_0x_0 + t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 | \, t_0 + t_1 + t_2 = 1, \, t_i \in \mathcal{K}, \, t_i > 0 \text{ for all } i\}$, there are elements s_0, s_1, s_2 of $\mathcal{K}$ with $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 = 1$, $s_i > 0$ such that $v = s_0x_0 + s_1x_1 + s_2x_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - v, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - s_0x_0 - s_1x_1 - s_2x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_0 - x_1, (s_0 - 1)x_0 + s_1x_1 + (1 - s_0 - s_1)x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & \|x_0 - x_1, (s_0 + s_1 - 1)x_0 + (1 - s_0 - s_1)x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & |s_0 + s_1 - 1| \, \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= & |s_2| \, \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\|
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $|s_2| = 1$ since $\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \neq 0$. Similarly, we obtain $|s_0| = |s_1| = 1$. By the hypothesis of $\mathcal{C}$, there are integers k_0, k_1, k_2 such that $s_0 = 3^{k_1}, s_1 = 3^{k_2}, s_2 = 3^{k_2}$. Since $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 = 1$, every k_i is less than 0. So, one may let $s_0 = 3^{-n_0}, s_1 = 3^{-n_1}, s_2 = 3^{-n_2}$ and $n_0 \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that the one of above inequalities holds. Then $1 = s_0 + s_1 + s_2 = 3^{-n_0}(1 + 3^{n_0 - n_1} + 3^{n_0 - n_2})$, i.e., $3^{n_0} = 1 + 3^{n_0 - n_1} + 3^{n_0 - n_2}$. This is a contradiction, because the left side is a multiple of 3 whereas the right side is not. Thus $n_0 = n_1 = n_2$. Consequently, $s_0 = s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{3}$. This means that u is unique. $\square$

Theorem 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ be non-Archimedean n-normed spaces over a linear ordered non-Archimedean field $\mathcal{K}$ with $\mathcal{C} = \{3^n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ an interior preserving n-isometry. Then the barycenter of a triangle is f-invariant.*

Proof. Let x_0, x_1 and x_2 be elements of $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying that x_0, x_1 and x_2 are not 2-collinear. It is obvious that the barycenter $\frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}$ of a triangle $\triangle_{x_0x_1x_2}$ is an interior point of the triangle. By the assumption, $f(\frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3})$ is also the interior point of a triangle $\triangle_{f(x_0)f(x_1)f(x_2)}$. Since $\dim \mathcal{X} > n-1$, there exist $n-2$ elements $x_3, \cdots, x_n$ in $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\|$ is not zero. Since f is an n-isometry, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(x_0) - f(x_1), f(x_0) - f\Big(\frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}\Big), f(x_0) - f(x_3), \cdots, f(x_0) - f(x_n)\| \\
= \; & \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - \frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= \; & |\frac{1}{3}| \, \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_1 + x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= \; & \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_2, x_0 - x_3, \cdots, x_0 - x_n\| \\
= \; & \|f(x_0) - f(x_1), f(x_0) - f(x_2), f(x_0) - f(x_3), \cdots, f(x_0) - f(x_n)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(x_1) - f(x_2), f(x_1) - f\Big(\frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}\Big), f(x_1) - f(x_3), \cdots, f(x_1) - f(x_n)\| \\
= \; & \|f(x_2) - f(x_1), f(x_2) - f\Big(\frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}\Big), f(x_2) - f(x_3), \cdots, f(x_2) - f(x_n)\| \\
= \; & \|f(x_0) - f(x_1), f(x_0) - f(x_2), f(x_0) - f(x_3), \cdots, f(x_0) - f(x_n)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.11, we get

$$f\Big(\frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}\Big) = \frac{f(x_0)+f(x_1)+f(x_2)}{3}$$

for all $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying that x_0, x_1, x_2 are not 2-collinear. $\square$

Theorem 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be non-Archimedean n-normed spaces over a linear ordered non-Archimedean field $\mathcal{K}$ with $\mathcal{C} = \{3^n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is an interior preserving n-isometry, then $f(x) - f(0)$ is additive.*

Proof. Let $g(x) := f(x) - f(0)$. One can easily check that $g(0) = 0$ and g is also an n-isometry. Using a similar method in [5, Theorem 2.4], we can easily prove that g is also an interior preserving mapping.

Now, let x_0, x_1, x_2 be elements of $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying that x_0, x_1, x_2 are not 2-collinear. Since g is an interior preserving n-isometry, by Theorem 2.12,

$$g\Big(\frac{x_0+x_1+x_2}{3}\Big) = \frac{g(x_0)+g(x_1)+g(x_2)}{3}$$

for any $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying that x_0, x_1, x_2 are not 2-collinear. From the lemma 2.8, we obtain that the interior preserving n-isometry g is additive which completes the proof. □

References

[1] M. Amyari, G. Sadeghi, *Isometries in non-Archimedean strictly convex and strictly* 2*-convex* 2*-normed spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis and Variational Problems. Sringer Verlag, Berlin (2009), 13-22.
[2] J.A. Baker, *Isometries in normed spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly. **78** (1971), 655–658.
[3] X. Y. Chen, M. M. Song, *Characterizations on isometries in linear n-normed spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **72** (2010), 1895-1901.
[4] Y.J. Cho, P.C.S. Lin, S.S. Kim and A. Misiak, *Theory of* 2*-Inner Product Spaces*, Nova Science Publ. New York, 2001.
[5] J. Choy, H.-Y. Chu and S.-H. Ku, *Characterizations on Mazur-Ulam theorem*, Nonlinear Anal. **72** (2010), 1291-1297.
[6] H.-Y. Chu, *On the Mazur-Ulam problem in linear 2-normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **327** (2007), 1041–1045.
[7] H.-Y. Chu, S.K. Choi and D.S. Kang, *Mappings of conservative distances in linear n-normed spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **70** (2009), 1168–1174.
[8] H.-Y. Chu, S.-H. Ku and D.S. Kang, *Characterizations on 2-isometries*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **340** (2008), 621–628.
[9] H.-Y. Chu, K. Lee and C.-K. Park, *On the Aleksandrov problem in linear n-normed spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **59** (2004), 1001–1011.
[10] H.-Y. Chu, C.-K. Park and W.-K. Park, *The Aleksandrov problem in linear 2-normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **289** (2004), 666–672.
[11] W. Jian, *On the generalizations of the Mazur-Ulam Isometric theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **263** (2001), 510–521.
[12] S. Mazur and S. Ulam, *Sur les transformationes isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normés*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **194** (1932), 946–948.
[13] A. Misiak, *n-inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr. **140** (1989), 299–319.
[14] A. Misiak, *Orthogonality and orthogonormality in n-inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr. **143** (1989), 249–261.
[15] M. S. Moslehian and Gh. Sadeghi, *A Mazur–Ulam theorem in non-Archimedean normed spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **69** (2008), 3405–3408.
[16] L. Narici and E. Beckenstein, *Strange terrain–non-Archimedean spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **88** (1981), 667–676.
[17] Th.M. Rassias, *On the A.D. Aleksandrov problem of conservative distances and the Mazur-Ulam theorem*, Nonlinear Anal. **47** (2001), 2597–2608.
[18] Th.M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the Mazur-Ulam theorem and the Aleksandrov problem for unit distance preserving mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 919–925.
[19] Th.M. Rassias and P. Wagner, *Volume preserving mappings in the spirit of the Mazur-Ulam theorem*, Aequationes Math. **66** (2003), 85–89.
[20] J. Väisälä, *A proof of the Mazur-Ulam Theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly **110** (2003), 633–635.
[21] S. Xiang, *Mappings of conservative distances and the Mazur-Ulam theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **254** (2001), 262–274.

Hahng-Yun Chu, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, 335, Gwahak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: hychu@kaist.ac.kr

Se-Hyun Ku, Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, 79, Daehangno, Yuseong-Gu, Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: shku@cnu.ac.kr